

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,584	12/28/2001	Samuel Kho	25216-0881	2323	
30554	7590 03/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SHEMWELL GREGORY & COURTNEY LLP 4880 STEVENS CREEK BOULEVARD			PITARO, RYAN F		
SUITE 201	NS CREEK BOULEVA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE,	CA 95129		2174		
•			DATE MAILED: 03/01/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			lu
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Control	10/040,584	KHO, SAMUEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ryan F Pitaro	2174	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a req. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a roll within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicati ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 L</u>	December 2004.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matte	• •	is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,10-22 and 25-34 is/are pending if 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrases 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,10-22,and 25-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyan ction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Apority documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
i a -			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 892)	4) T lata : - 0	umman (DTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-7,10-22,25-34 have been examined.

Response to Amendment

- 1. This communication is responsive to Amendment A, filed 2/2/2004.
- 2. Claims 1-7,10-22,25-34 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 22, and 31 are independent claims. In the Amendment A, Claims 1,10,12,16,18,20,21, 22,27-31, were amended, and Claims 8-9 and 23-24 were canceled. This action is made Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7,10-22,25-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Pogue (Palmpilot: The Ultimate Guide, ISBN: 1-56592-600-0).

As per independent claim 1, Pogue teaches a handheld computer comprising:

a housing (chapter 1, page 1, line 4-7) including a first panel comprising one or more user-interactive features which are each actuatable to cause an input to be entered (Chapter 1 page 2 Figure 1.2), and; a display accessible on a second panel of the housing (chapter 1, page 1, line 6-7, page 2 Figure 1.2); a processor coupled to the display, the processor being configured to: detect an input corresponding to a menu request (chapter 1, page 4, line 4-5); activate the first menu on the display in response

Art Unit: 2174

to the menu request, the activated a first menu displaying a menu bar and one or more menu items (chapter 1, page 4, line 4-5, menu bar and menu items are shown on figure 1.4) process navigation input to navigate to the menu bar of the active first menu, including navigation input to cause the menu bar of the active first menu to be selectable (chapter 1, page 4, line 4-11); process selection input when the menu bar is selectable (chapter 1, page 4, line 4-5); wherein the navigation input, and the selection are generated by the user actuating a same user-interactive feature (Chapter 1, page 4, lines 4-11) and cancel activation of the first menu from the display in response to the menu bar of that menu being selected by the selection input (chapter 1, page 4, line 8-11; Once the menu bar is tapped, only the menu bar appears).

As per claim 2, which is a dependent on claim 1, Pogue teaches the processor is configured to process navigation input to navigate vertically to the menu bar from one of the one or more menu items in the active first menu (chapter 1, page 3, line 2-4 and chapter 2, page: 3, line16-19).

As per claim 3, which is a dependent on claim 1, Pogue teaches the processor is configured to execute an application that makes only the first menu available while a corresponding page of the application is being displayed on the display, and to process a lateral navigation input while the first menu is active in order to cancel the first menu from being active (chapter 1, page 4, line 4-11).

As per claim 4, which is a dependent on claim 1, Pogue teaches the processor is configured to process navigation input to navigate laterally from the first menu in order to make the second menu active instead of the first menu, and wherein the processor is

Art Unit: 2174

configured to automatically make a menu bar of the second menu selectable in response to the second menu being activated by the lateral navigation input (chapter 1, page 4, line 8).

As per claim 5, which is a dependent on claim 4, Pogue teaches the processor is configured to process navigation input to cause the menu bar of the second menu item to be selected immediately upon the second menu being made active in response to the lateral navigation input, and wherein the processor is configured to cancel activation of the second menu from the display in response to the second menu being selected by the selection input (chapter 1, page 4, line 8-11).

As per claim 6, which is dependent on claim 1, Pogue teaches the processor is configured to process the navigation input to make the menu bar highlighted for selection by the selection input (chapter 4, page 18, line 13-15).

As per claim 7, which is dependent on claim 1, Pogue teaches the processor is configured to process navigation input to navigate from one of the one or more menu items of the first menu to the menu bar in order to make the menu bar selectable (chapter 4, page 18, line 25-28, See figure 4.15).

As per claim 10, which is dependent on claim 1, Pogue teaches the processor is configured to process navigation input from actuation of one or more of the user-interactive features, the navigation input being processed by the processor to navigate to and make the menu bar selectable, wherein the processor is configured to navigate laterally from the first menu to a second menu in response to the actuation of the one or more user-interactive features corresponding to a lateral navigation input, and to make

the menu bar of the active second menu bar selectable upon navigating to the second menu (chapter 1, page 4, line 4-6 and 8-9).

As per claim 11, which is dependent on claim 10, Pogue teaches the processor is configured to process selection input when the menu bar of the second menu is made selectable in order to select that menu bar and cause cancellation of the second menu being active (chapter 1, page 4, line 9-11).

As per claim 12, which is dependent on claim 1, Pogue teaches wherein actuation of the one or more user-interactive features causes discrete inputs to be processed by the processor, wherein the processor is configured to process navigation input corresponding to actuation of one or more of the plurality of user- interactive features to navigate to the menu bar vertically from one of the menu items in the first menu in response to receiving a series of one or more discrete input form operation of the one or more user-interactive features (chapter 1, page 1, line 23-25, page 2, line 13-15, page 3, line 3-4., and page 3, line 20 through page 4 line 1-3).

As per claim 13, which is dependent on claim 12, Pogue teaches the series of discrete inputs correspond to a series of button presses (chapter 1, page 3, line 3-4 and page 4, line 3).

As per claim 14, which is dependent on claim 12, Pogue teaches the series of discrete inputs correspond to a series of button pressed from a multi-directional button mechanism (chapter 2, page 2, line 10-13).

As per claim 15, which is dependent on claim 1, Pogue teaches the processor navigates to the menu bar by highlighting the menu bar (chapter 3, section 3.7 The Palmpilot as Word Processor, page 1, line 12-13 and line 19, Chapter 4, page 18, line 13, and page 18, line 25-28, also see figure 4. 15).

As per claim 16, which is dependent on claim 1, Pogue teaches the one or more user-interactive features being actuatable to cause navigation input to be processed by the processor, wherein a direction in which the processor navigates the menu bar is determined by a user selectively actuating the one or more user-interactive features (chapter 1, page 2, line 7-9, page 3, line 20 through page 4, line 3 and page 4, line 3).

As per claim 17, which is dependent on claim 1, Pogue teaches processor is configured to perform an action in response to one of the menu items of the first menu being selected (chapter 1, page4, line 8-10, and line 23-24).

As per claim 18, which is dependent on claim 1, Pogue teaches wherein the one or more user-interactive features being actuatable to cause navigation input to be processed by the processor, and wherein the one or more user-interactive features including a multi-directional mechanical feature (chapter 1, page 2, line 7-9 and page 3, line 20 through page 4, line 3) and (chapter 2, page 2, line 10-13 and line 30 and page 9, line 5-7).

As per claim 19, which is dependent on claim 18, Pogue teaches the multidirectional mechanical feature is selected from a group of user-interactive features consisting of a joy stick, a joy pad, and a set of scroll button (chapter 2, page 9, line 6-9) and (chapter 1, page 2, line 13-16 and line 18-21). Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 20, which is dependent on claim 1, Pogue teaches the on or more user-interactive features include a set of application buttons (chapter 1, page 2, line 18-21).

As per claim 21, which is dependent on claim 1, Pogue wherein the one or more user-interactive features being actuatable to cause navigation input to be processed by the processor, and wherein the one or more user-interactive features include visual features that appear on the display and which are selectable through contact with the display (chapter 1, page 1, line 20-21; line 16-17 and line 13-14) and (chapter 2, page 1, line 20-21).

As per independent claim 22, Pogue teaches a handheld computer comprising: a housing', (chapter 1, page 1, line 5-7); a display accessible on a panel of the housing; (chapter 1, page 1, line 6-7 and line 13- 14); a set of actuatable mechanisms provided on the housing', (chapter 1, page 1, line 20-27); a processor coupled to the display and to the plurality of actuatable mechanisms, the processor being configured to: detect an input corresponding to a menu request (chapter 1, page 2, line 2-3)., in response to detecting the input corresponding to the menu request, assign a menu function to each actuatable mechanism in the set of actuatable mechanisms (chapter 1, page 2, line 8-11 and page 5, line 5-7) and (chapter 2, page 3, line 12-15 page 6, line 9-11)., display one or more menu items that are active in response to the menu request, each of the one or more sets of menu items being displayed as a portion of the menu having a menu bar (chapter 1, page 4, line 8-11); while the one or more sets of menu items are active, process input corresponding to actuation of any one of the actuatable

Art Unit: 2174

mechanisms as the menu function assigned to the actuated actuatable mechanism; (chapter 1, page 3, line 20 through page 4, line1-3), wherein the menu function assigned to each of the actuatable mechanisms corresponds to one of the menu functions selected from the group of menu functions consisting of navigation input, selection input to select a menu item, and selection input to select cancellation of the one or more active sets of menu items (chapter 1, page 4, lines 4-11; actuating a menu button, tapping for selection, cancellation, and navigation).

As per claim 23, which is dependent on claim 22, Pogue teaches the menu function assigned to one or more of the actuatable mechanisms corresponds to navigation input (chapter 1, page 3, line 20 through page 4 line1-3 and line 2-10).

As per claim 24, which is dependent on claim 22, Pogue teaches the menu function assigned to each of the actuatable mechanisms corresponds to one of the menu functions selected from the group of menu functions consisting of navigation input, selection input to select a navigation, and selection input to select cancellation of the one or more active sets of menu items (chapter 1, page 4, line 8-11).

As per claim 25, which is dependent on claim 22, Pogue teaches the application associated with each actuatable mechanism is different for each actuatable mechanism (chapter 1, page 1, line 25).

As per claim 26, which is dependent on claim 22, Pogue teaches the actuatable mechanisms are buttons (chapter 1, page 1, line 23-27).

As per claim 27, which is dependent on claim 22, Pogue teaches actuatable mechanisms in the set of actuatable mechanisms are each assigned an individual menu

Art Unit: 2174

311,001.1101.11001. 10,010,0

function corresponding to navigating menu items in one of either a lateral direction or a vertical direction (chapter 1, page 4, line 4-11).

As per claim 28, which is dependent on claim 22, Pogue teaches at least one of the actuatable mechanisms in the set of actuatable mechanisms is assigned a menu function for selecting a selectable menu item (chapter 2, page 3, line 12-15 and page 6, line 10-11).

As per claim 29, which is dependent on claim 22, Pogue teaches the handheld computer is operable in a sleep mode, and wherein the processor is configured to launch an application associated in response to one of the actuatable mechanisms associated with that application being actuated when the handheld computer is in the sleep mode (chapter 1, page 1, line 25-27 and line 13).

As per claim 30, which is dependent on claim 22, Pogue teaches the processor is configured to display a menu bar with each of the one or more sets of menu items in response to receiving the menu request, and wherein the processor is configured to cancel activation of the one or more sets of menu items in response to selection input for canceling the one or more active sets of menu items (chapter 1, page 2, line 4-11).

As per independent claim 31, Pogue teaches a handheld computer comprising: a housing including a first panel comprising a display (chapter 1, page 1, line 6-7) and one or more user-interactive features which are each actuatable to cause an input to be entered (Chapter 1 page 2 Figure 1.2, *silkscreen buttons*); and (chapter 1, page 1, line 4-7); a processor coupled to the display, the processor being configured to: detect an input corresponding to menu request; (chapter 1, page 4, lines 4-5); activate a first

Art Unit: 2174

menu on the display in response to the menu request (chapter 1, page 4, lines 4-5); and process lateral navigation input to cancel activation of the first menu; (chapter 1, page 4, lines 8-1 1) wherein the input corresponding to the menu request (Chapter 1 page 4 lines 4-6), and the lateral navigation are each caused by activation of the same user-interactive feature (Chapter 2 page 3 lines 18-19).

As per claim 32, which is dependent on claim 31, Pogue teaches the processor processes lateral navigation input to cancel activation of the menu if only the first menu is available to be active for a page being displayed on the handheld computer (chapter 2, page 2, line 13-16).

As per claim 33, which is dependent on claim 33, Pogue teaches the processor is configured to activate the first menu by displaying a menu bar and one or more menu items (chapter 1, page 4, line 4-5).

As per claim 34, which is dependent on claim 33, Pogue teaches the processor is configured to process vertical navigation input to make the menu bar selectable, and to process selection input to cancel activation of first menu when the menu bar is made selectable (chapter 2, page 2, line 12-16).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7,10-21, 31-34 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Per claim 1, Applicant argues that Pogue does not disclose or teach:

Application/Control Number: 10/040,584 Page 11

Art Unit: 2174

(a) "cancel navigation of the first menu from the display in response to the menu bar of that menu being selected by the selection input",

(b) "cancellation of a menu using the same input method used to display and/or navigate to or through the menu being cancelled".

Per claim 22, Applicant argues that Pogue does not disclose or teach:

(c) "the actuatable mechanisms corresponding to one of the navigation input, selection input, and selection input of select cancellation of the one or more active sets of menu items," and that "Pogue teaches away from an actuatable mechanism".

Per claim 31, Applicant argues that Pogue does not disclose or teach:

- (d) "lateral navigation input to cancel activation of the first menu",
- (e) "wherein the input corresponding to the menu request, and the lateral navigation input are each caused by the activation of the same user-interactive feature".

The examiner disagrees for the following reasons:

Per (a), Pogue inherently teaches the cancellation of a first menu from the display when the menu bar is selected. In the palm application once the menu is displayed a user can cancel the navigation of a first menu by tapping on the menu bar. For instance, the menu button is tapped, and a menu is displayed on the left top part of the main application screen as a drop down menu. That menu is the drop down menu App once displayed it comprises elements delete, beam, send, etc. Once the menu bar is tapped, only the menu bar appears.

Per (b) Pogue discloses a "user-interactive feature" known as tapping. Pogue teaches tapping the screen is means for selection input. Also, Applicant agrees "Pogue describes canceling a menu by selecting an item on the menu by tapping". The input corresponding to selection is tapping and it is known that tapping cancels the menu, which are the same input method known as tapping.

Per (c), "Pogue discloses that cancellation requires tapping on the display" as Applicant states. Applicant also discloses on page 7 lines 14-18 in their specification that

The terms "user-interactive feature" and "actuatable mechanisms" refer to devices or features that enable users to enter navigation and/or selection input. In an embodiment, user-interactive features are actuatable to cause discrete inputs to be entered. Therefore, actuation of the user-interactive feature causes a static input value to be entered, and variations to the input value require repeating actuation of the user-interactive feature.

Since tapping is a feature that enables users to select input, tapping is but not limited to an actuatable mechanism or a user-interactive feature. Therefore Pogue teaches navigation input, selection input, and selection input of select cancellation of the one or more active sets of menu items.

Per (d), Pogue discloses a "user-interactive feature" known as tapping. Pogue teaches tapping the screen is means for lateral navigation input. Pogue also teaches tapping the screen "anywhere else on the screen puts the menu away". Therefore the input corresponding to later navigation is tapping and in turn tapping cancels the menu.

Per (e) Pogue discloses the same "user-interactive feature" tapping for activating a menu. As discussed above tapping is taught for lateral navigation. Therefore, Pogue

Art Unit: 2174

teaches activation of navigation and menu request by the same "user interactive feature", tapping..

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan F Pitaro whose telephone number is 571-272-4071. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm Monday through Thursday and on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2174

Page 14

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan Pitaro Art Unit 2174 Patent Examiner

RFP

Bristine Vincaid

SUPERALBORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100